

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,740	(07/09/2001	Robert Rogenmoser	5580-03100	8445
34399	7590	12/22/2004	EXAMINER		
		ON & MARKI	CUNNINGHA	CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D	
P.O. BOX 160727 AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2816	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 			T				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comments	09/901,740	ROGENMOSER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Terry D. Cunningham	2816				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 No.	ovember 2004.					
_		action is non-final.					
3)□	_						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 20-25 is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are rejected.						
6)[
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	τ.					
10)⊠	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		· , , ,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
* ~	application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A440=b=-	Max.		7				
Attachmen 1) ☐ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Dintontion Com-	(DTO 442)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/901,740

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Béchade (USPN 5,789,966 - cited by Applicant). Béchade discloses, in Fig. 2, a circuit comprising: "a first passgate (I0 and I1)"; "a first default circuit (22 and 28) in 20')"; "a second passgate (I2 and I3)"; "a second default circuit (22 and 28 (28 not shown, but disclosed) in 20'')"; and "a output logic circuit (24 and 26)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

With respect to claim 25, it is clear from the reference to Béchade, particularly from the claims, that any number of passgate circuits is intended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Béchade. The above discussed circuit to Béchade discloses the use of elements 24 and 26, which together

Art Unit: 2816

effectively comprise an OR gate, rather than a "NOR gate". However, it is notoriously well known that an OR gate and a NOR gate with an inverter on the output are art-recognized equivalents. Such a structure is known to have simple construction with low threshold loss. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use a NOR gate with an inverter in place of the effective OR gate comprising elements 24 and 26 of Béchade to obtain the expected advantage of simple construction with low threshold loss and due to art-recognized equivalents.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Further, the remarks are not understood. The recited "output logic gate" is being read on elements 24 and 26. Thus, clearly, nodes A are the inputs to the "output logic gate". As seen, the inputs to the "output logic gate" are directly coupled to the "first and second nodes" with no "buffer" connected therebetween. And due to this direct connection, the "time delay" between the "output logic gate" and the "first and second nodes" will be "minimal".

With respect to Applicant's argument concerning the phrase "a wide multiplexer having a wide bit-width input" and in contrast thereto, there is no explicit definition found in the specification for the phrase "wide bit-width input".

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/901,740

Art Unit: 2816

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 571-272-1742.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC

December 14, 2004

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816